## Clinical and Economic Benefits of Bivalent Respiratory Syncytial Virus Prefusion F (RSVpreF) Vaccine for Prevention of RSV Infections Among Older Adults: PC5 **A Cost-Effectiveness Analysis for Singapore**

Karan Thakkar<sup>1</sup>, Robyn Kendall<sup>2</sup>, Nishu Gaind<sup>2</sup>, Luka Ivkovic<sup>2</sup>, Gopika Balasubramanian<sup>2</sup>, Rengina Kefalogianni<sup>3</sup>, Jessie Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Kay Choong See<sup>4</sup>, Andrea Lay-Hoon Kwa<sup>5,6</sup>, Diana Mendes<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Pfizer Singapore; <sup>2</sup>Evidinno Outcomes Research Inc., Vancouver, BC, Canada; <sup>3</sup>Pfizer Ltd., Tadworth, Surrey, UK; <sup>4</sup>Division of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine, National University Hospital, Singapore; <sup>5</sup>Division of Pharmacy, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore; <sup>6</sup>Emerging Infectious Diseases Programme, Duke-National University of Singapore Medical School, Singapore



### Purpose

- Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) is a common respiratory virus which can cause severe illness in the elderly and those with underlying conditions.<sup>1</sup>
- This study estimated the clinical and economic impact of a yearround bivalent RSVpreF vaccination program<sup>2</sup> for the prevention of lower respiratory tract infections (LRTI) caused by RSV among older adults in Singapore.

## Results

- In the base case analysis, from a healthcare system perspective, older adult vaccination with RSVpreF would be a cost-effective strategy, with an incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) of S\$47,679 per QALY gained, 0.42 times the assumed willingness-to-pay (WTP) threshold of 1 x gross domestic product per capita (GDPpc) in Singapore (S\$113,778 per QALY gained) (**Table 1**).
- From a societal perspective, the resulting ICER would decrease to S\$25,964 per QALY gained (i.e., 0.23 x GDPpc).

## **Materials and Methods**

### **Overview**

- A Markov model was used to project clinical and economic outcomes of RSV in older adults with single-dose RSVpreF vaccination compared to no vaccination, among Singapore residents aged 60-74 years at an increased risk of severe RSV disease and all residents 75-99 years of age.<sup>3,4</sup>
- Clinical outcomes consisted of medically-attended RSV stratified by care setting (i.e., hospital [H], emergency department [ED], physician's office [PO]), RSV-related deaths (for hospital-admitted patients), life years (LYs), and quality-adjusted life years (QALYs).
- Economic outcomes included intervention costs (RSVpreF vaccine and administration) and direct medical care costs for older adults.

### Model Parameters

Incidence of RSV-H was based on a published analysis of Singapore's national inpatient database;<sup>5</sup> incidence rates were allocated by risk, based on a recent study of adults in the United States (US).<sup>6</sup> To account for alternative clinical definitions for RSV associated hospitalizations, the rates were adjusted by two times. Case-fatality rate ([CFR] of 8.6 deaths per 100 hospitalizations [65-74 years old]) for RSV-associated in-hospital mortality was based on a global systematic literature review on RSV burden in older adults from developed countries,<sup>7</sup> and distributed across age and risk groups based on published literature.<sup>8</sup> Vaccine effectiveness was derived from RENOIR clinical trial data<sup>9</sup> and duration of protection beyond trial was extrapolated assuming linear waning and truncated at 42 months. Vaccine uptake was derived from Singapore's Ministry of Health data for influenza vaccination (18.7% [18-64 years old] and 40.6% [65+ years old]).<sup>10</sup> • All costs were reported in 2024 Singapore dollars (S\$). Direct medical care costs for RSV-H were S\$5,116.88 (18-64 years old) and S\$6,881.70 (65+ years old),<sup>11</sup> S\$154.00 for RSV-ED,<sup>12</sup> and S\$91.66 for RSV-PO.<sup>11</sup> Cost for older adult RSVpreF vaccination was S\$273.28 per dose, and the administration cost (S\$3.05) was assumed to correspond to the 10-minute wage of a nursing aide/assistant.<sup>13</sup>

#### Table 1. Base case results: Older adult vaccine vs. No intervention

	Older adult vaccine	No intervention	Difference
Clinical outcomes, No. of cases			
RSV-H	52,304	54,595	-2,291
RSV-ED visits	31,767	33,193	-1,426
RSV-PO visits	331,170	339,953	-8,784
<b>RSV-related deaths</b>	6,837	7,092	-255
Life years, discounted	10,080,740	10,078,582	2,158
QALYs, discounted	6,534,798	6,533,381	1,417
Economic outcomes, S\$ millions			
Medical care	283.64	299.27	-15.63
Older adult vaccination	82.27	0	82.27
Vaccine administration	0.92	0	0.92
Indirect costs	504.85	535.62	-30.77
Total direct costs	366.82	299.27	67.55
ICER, Cost per QALY gained (S\$)			
Healthcare perspective			47,679
Societal perspective			25,964

- Prevented RSV medically-attended cases and RSV-related deaths for base case and RSV-H rates scenario analyses are presented in **Figure 1**. The number of RSV cases and RSV-related deaths prevented increased with higher RSV-H rates, with the ICER ranging from S\$106,156 – S\$28,191 per QALY gained for non-adjusted to 3x adjusted rates, respectively.

Utility values for persons aged 18-99 years were based on Singapore-specific EQ-5D index scores,<sup>14</sup> and distributed across

### Figure 1. Total number of RSV deaths (A) and medically-attended cases (B) prevented (RSVpreF vs. No intervention) with different RSV-H rates



 RSV medically-attended cases and RSV-related deaths for base case and vaccine uptake scenario analyses are presented in **Figure 2**. RSV cases and RSV-related deaths decreased with higher vaccine uptake.

#### Figure 2. Total number of RSV deaths (A) and medically-attended cases (B) with different vaccine uptakes



risk groups based on published literature.<sup>15</sup> Analyses

- An annual discount rate of 3% was applied for both future costs and outcomes. Analyses were conducted from both the healthcare system and societal perspectives with a lifetime time horizon.
- Scenario analyses tested the results robustness to changes in key model inputs, including RSV-H rates (not adjusted and adjusted by  $3x)^5$  and vaccine uptake (20% and 60%).

No	Uptake	Uptake	Uptake	No	Uptake	Uptake	Uptake
intervention	20%	40.6%	60%	intervention	20%	40.6%	60%

# Conclusion

- Year-round RSVpreF vaccination would be a highly cost-effective program and would substantially reduce the clinical and economic burden of RSV among older adults in Singapore.
- **Results of this economic evaluation has substantial health policy** relevance and can be significant in future dialogue concerning the inclusion of the RSVpreF vaccine into the national vaccination program for older adults in Singapore.

References	Disclosure
1. Amand et al. BMC Health Serv Res. 2018;18(1):294. 2. Health Sciences Authority. New Drug Approvals - July 2024, 2024. 3. Singapore Department of Statistics. Singapore Residents by Age Group, Ethinic Group And Sex, At End June, 2024. 4. Singapore Department of Statistics. Singapore Residents by Single Year of Age and Sex, At End June, 2024. 5. Qi et al. Influenza Other Respir Viruses. 2025;19(4):e70098. 6. Weycker et al. Infect Dis Ther. 2024;13(1):207-220. 7. Nguyen et al. Eur Respir Rev. 2022;31(166). 8. Averin et al. Respir Med. 2021;185:106476. 9. Walsh et al. N Engl J Med. 2023;388(16):1465-1477. 10. Ministry of Health Singapore. National Population Health Survey 2023 (Household Interview), 2023. 11. Yue et al. Value Health. 2019;22(12):1345-1354. 12. Singapore General Hospital. Outpatient Charges, 2024. 13. Ministry of Manpower. Table: Occupational Wages 2023, 2023. 14. Abdin et al. Qual Life Res. 2015;24(6):1545-1553. 15. Ara & Brazier. Value Health. 2011;14(4):539-545.	This study was sponsored by Pfizer Inc.    Contact Info   karan.b.thakkar@pfizer.com   +65.64038888

Asia Pneumonia Summit & Master Class in Vaccinology 2025 - Taipei, Taiwan - June 14-15, 2025